UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,241	01/04/2007	Takuji Maeda	0074/065001	9459
Randolph A Sm	7590 06/02/201 nith	EXAMINER		
Smith Patent Of	ffice	BERTRAM, RYAN		
1901 Pennsylva Suite 901	mia Ave nw	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DO	C 20006	2187		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/02/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/567,241	MAEDA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
RYAN BERTRAM	2187		

	RYAN BERTRAM	218/				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 May 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slast forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount on nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying the	ne issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	on or an amy repr					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,	,			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).	_					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-3,5-16 and 22-27. Claim(s) objected to: 18-21. Claim(s) rejected:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> t or other evidence is	be entered necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		,				
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Kovin L Ellic/	(D. D. /					
/Kevin L Ellis/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2187	/R. B./					
25p2201j - atom =1.a	Examiner, Art Unit 2187					

Continuation of 7: Claims 18-21 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 18-21 depend upon cancelled claim 17. Appropriate correction is required.